Use Case: How MeetingQuality is deployed within a Stakeholder Group

This document illustrates the steps that Stakeholder Groups (those having interest, power, influence, expectations, etc. in a project’s outcome) would follow from issuing meeting invitations, to receiving and submitting the assessments, to receiving and utilizing the metrics and reports.

1. Stakeholders add one additional email address to the meeting invitation (stakeholder@meetingquality.com)

2. As meeting begins, Stakeholders receive an email with a 4-question assessment

3. When meeting ends, attendees complete their meeting assessments (taking 45 seconds or less)

4. MeetingQuality’s secure server aggregates the results using proprietary and Social Network Analysis algorithms and applies Artificial Intelligence (IBM Watson) to the action items

5. 24 hours after meeting ends, Stakeholders are emailed these metrics / reports...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Individual Scores</th>
<th>Comparative History</th>
<th>Action Items / Feedback</th>
<th>Analysis of Action Items / Feedback</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Project Success Probability (PSP)</td>
<td>Project Success Probability (PSP) vs.</td>
<td>(reported anonymously)</td>
<td>over time using Artificial Intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>versus Project Vision</td>
<td>Vision vs. MeetingQuality Score (MQS)</td>
<td>(author name un-traceable)</td>
<td>(IBM Watson)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- This project needs explicit exec support from all c-level execs.
- We need this project to be completed on time.
- I support the budget increase.
- How do we overcome CFO's bias against this project?
- Stakeholders agree that this project is necessary to comply with next year's regulations!
- We understand why Steering Committee recommends 20% increase in the funding.
- AI: Analytical 77% Confident 80%

6. Stakeholders incorporate the discussion & review of metrics and reports into periodic Stakeholder meetings as part of the change management methodology used.

MeetingQuality Consulting Partners provide management advisory services by interpreting the results and turning them into real-world solutions...

7. Periodically, Stakeholders are emailed other metrics / reports – such as...

- Risk vs. Value – X-Y Plot
  - Stakeholders may select from a broad range of factors to assess risk versus value. For example, an assessment of Organization Capability may reveal that project risk is higher than the calculated risk of a traditional Risk-Value analysis.

- Project with above-average PSP and Vision at Project Start-Up
  - Stakeholder (green ellipse) sees compelling Vision with a high PSP (90%). Stakeholder (red ellipse) sees neutral Vision with a low PSP (35%). Other Stakeholders see a more compelling Vision with varying degrees of PSP.

- Artificial Intelligence (IBM Watson) analysis of Action Items / Comments in bar graph shows Project Team (light grey bars) as confident and joyful while Stakeholders (dark grey bars) are tentative and fearful.

- Portfolio Reports
  - Portfolio Reports track performance across multiple programs and portfolios. The red cells for Waterfall Project B indicate that the project team and stakeholders both feel this project is unlikely to succeed. Meanwhile steering committee members believe that Project B will succeed. This is the classic profile of an over optimistic project manager.